

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/656,392 | 09/05/2003 | Trebor Heminway | MKPA-107US | 9588 | |
| 23122 | 7590 04/21/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| RATNERPRESTIA | | | JOHNSON, JONATHAN J | | |
| P O BOX 98 VALLEY FO | 0 DRGE, PA 19482-0980 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 1725 | | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

| | | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|-------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | 10/656,392 | | HEMINWAY ET A | AL. | | | |
| | | | Examiner | - | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Jonathan Johnso | | 1725 | | | | | |
| Period for | - The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply | ication appe | ears on the cover | sheet with the c | orrespondence a | dress | | | |
| WHICI - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re | PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions (IX) (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply poly received by the Office later than three months and dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.130 munication. tatutory period wi y will, by statute, or | ATE OF THIS CO 16(a). In no event, howe fill apply and will expire to cause the application to | MMUNICATION over, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE | I. ely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ I | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on <i>27 Mε</i> | arch 2006. | | | | | | |
| / | This action is FINAL . 2b) \boxtimes This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | |
| <i>,</i> — | , <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |
| , | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositio | on of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ (| Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| • — | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| · — | Claim(s) <u>7-7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| • — | Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restricti | ion and/or e | lection requirem | ent. | | | | | |
| Applicatio | | | · | | | | | | |
| | - | o Eveminer | | • | | | | | |
| • — | The specification is objected to by the | | | acted to by the F | Evaminar | | | | |
| | he drawing(s) filed on is/are: | | | | | : | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any obje | | | | | FD 4 404(4) | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to | - | | | | | | | |
| י נבו(יי | ne oath or declaration is objected to | o by the Exa | ammer. Note the | attached Office | Action of form P | 10-132. | | | |
| Priority ur | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| | cknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: | for foreign p | priority under 35 | U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | |
| • | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 3. Copies of the certified copies | of the priori | ity documents ha | ve been receive | d in this National | Stage | | | |
| | application from the Internation | onal Bureau | (PCT Rule 17.2) | (a)). | | | | | |
| * Se | ee the attached detailed Office actio | on for a list c | of the certified co | pies not receive | d. | | | | |
| | · | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(| (s) | | | | | | | | |
| | of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) 🗆 | Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notice | of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F | | Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| · — | ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date 3-27-06. | PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/656,392

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boisgontier et al. (4,984,866). Boisgontier et al. teaches a solder preform for attaching an optical fiber having a diameter to a fiber attach pad, the solder preform comprising a body including solder at least on a bottom surface thereof, the body having a groove extending along a first face from a first end to a second, the groove being larger in size than the optical fiber to allow alignment of the optical fiber within the groove such that the solder perform is configured to permit alignment of the optical fiber in first and second directions when groove of the solder perform is placed over the optical fiber (column 12, lines 16-21 and Figures); the height of the groove is larger than the diameter of the optical fiber, allowing a range of clearance above and below the optical fiber (column 12, lines 16-21 and Figures); the width of the groove is larger than the diameter of the optical fiber, allowing a range of clearance on at least a side of the optical fiber (column 12, lines 16-21 and Figures); the body is formed as a geometric solid with at least one substantially flat face; and the geometric solid is selected from a group consisting of a rectangular box, a cubical box, a cylinder, a semi-cylinder, a semi-sphere, a pyramid, and a cone (column 12, lines 16-21

Application/Control Number: 10/656,392 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

and Figures); the body is formed from a metallic material "solder" (column 12, lines 16-21 and Figures); where the groove is larger than 125 micron (col. 5, ll. 13-15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boisgontier as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Enochs (4,702,547). Boisgontier teaches all of the limitations of the claims except that the body is formed from a glass material. Enochs teaches the body is formed from a glass "silicon" material (Summary of Invention and column 4, line 56-column 5, line 30). The gold layer formed on the surface of the silicon retaining member constitutes the solder of claim 1. It is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the solder could have been adhered to either the pad or the retaining member since both surfaces are relative to each other. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the silicon member of Enochs with the solder member of Boisgontier in order to form a retaining member the maintains its shape during the soldering process.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/656,392

Art Unit: 1725

Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1177. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Jonathan Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725